

SPECIAL SERIES CALL FOR PAPERS

Investigating the Relationship Between Generative Artificial Intelligence and History Education

Edited by <u>Heike Bormuth</u>, <u>Lindsay Gibson</u>, <u>Andreas Körber</u>, and <u>Jon Nichol</u>

For publication from end-2025

Expressions of interest due: January 1, 2025 Due date for paper submission: July 1, 2025

On November 30, 2022 OpenAl launched ChatGPT, a human-like chatbot powered by a large language model that understands and generates human language. Almost immediately ChatGPT went viral, sparking an explosion in interest worldwide. More than 1 million people used ChatGPT within the first five days of its release, and more than 100 million users registered after just two months. In addition to ChatGPT, there are other natural language processing chatbots currently publicly available, including Gemini, Copilot, and Claude. Artificial Intelligence image generators, such as Midjourney and DALL-E 3, can create images that range from the hyper-realistic to the surreal, and can be prompted to mimic styles of specific artists. Similarly, video and music generators like Sora and Suno can create content so realistic that millions believe it to be genuine. Al tools like Research Rabbit and Jenni Al can assist with academic research and writing, generate research syntheses, summaries and paraphrases, and provide automated writing feedback, among other things.

Although artificial intelligence has been part of our daily lives for decades, the public availability of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) applications since 2022 has shaken up practices, routines, principles, beliefs, and underlying conceptions both in society in general and in education specifically. Some GenAI applications, often behind a paywall, target teachers in particular (e.g., SchoolAI teach and the German platforms To-teach and Fobizz). These GenAI apps can be used to create individual (differentiated) tasks, information texts, worksheets, lesson plans, and teaching units. Thus, they raise important questions about educators' understanding of the profession and role of teachers – and of teaching itself.

Educators and educational institutions have responded to GenAI in a variety of ways. Some have taken a techno-optimistic stance and promoted the integration of GenAI as a means of transforming teaching and learning history. Techno-optimist educators have discussed ways of incorporating GenAI in their instructional practice, such as creating outlines for written assignments, participating in chatbot conversations with simulated historical people, or engaging in historical debates with the chatbot. They argue that GenAI can enable students to interact with advanced and contextually aware AI systems, enhance critical thinking, provide a tool for exploring complex



problem-solving scenarios, and provide personalized feedback.

Techno-skeptics have expressed concerns about algorithmic bias, the lack of regulation of GenAl that could lead to privacy violations and the collection of student and teacher data. They argue that GenAl use will lead to rampant academic integrity violations, ruin students' motivation for writing and thinking, and will negatively impact teacher autonomy and professionalism. Some educational institutions and educators have banned GenAl from devices and networks, encouraged the use of preventative or surveillance measures such as switching to hand-written assignments or using chatbot-detection software, and have organized professional development workshops to help teachers spot Al-generated assignments.

Although it is unknown how and to what extent GenAl will impact history education around the world, it is clear that history teachers and history teacher professional development need to respond to the opportunities and challenges GenAl presents in classrooms. This special series aims to investigate what the widespread use of GenAl means for history education. We invite authors to submit expressions of interest for articles focused on the following questions.

- 1. The relationship between GenAl and history in general:
 - 1. How "effective" is GenAl at producing historical content, interpretations, narratives, and representations?
 - 2. How are historical representations and reproductions produced by/with GenAl related to claims and conceptions of authenticity? How can claims of authenticity be substantiated or assessed? Are new concepts needed for characterizing the relationship between the representations and reproductions produced by GenAl and their authenticity?
- 2. The relationship between GenAl and history education in particular:
 - 1. What are the promises and possibilities, and the challenges and dangers of utilizing GenAl in history education from various stakeholder's perspectives?
 - 2. What conclusions can be drawn from previous technological innovations in history education that might be helpful for addressing current challenges posed by GenAI?
 - 3. What expectations (hopes, fears) do different stakeholders and organizations involved in history education (learners, teachers, parents, administration) have with regard to the use of GenAl for teaching and learning history?
 - 4. How do students and/or teachers and teacher educators use GenAl to teach and learn history? In what ways has GenAl changed teaching and learning history (pedagogy/didactics, curricula, exams and assessment, teacher education, theoretical approaches)?
 - 5. What ethical issues do students and teachers experience when utilizing/using GenAI?
 - 6. What role does GenAl play in the initial and continuing professional development of history teachers?
 - 7. What is the relationship between established models of historical thinking, historical reasoning, and/or historical consciousness and the challenges teachers' and students' experience when dealing with



- GenAl-History?
- 8. What approaches do history teachers utilize to address the challenges GenAl poses for assessing students' historical understanding, and what are their strengths and limitations?
- 3. The relationship between research on GenAl and history education:
 - 1. What has empirical research revealed about students' and/or teachers' abilities to effectively utilize, understand, and/or analyze Al-generated historical content?
 - 2. What has empirical research revealed about the impact AI has on students' and/or teachers' conceptualization of history, its purpose, its logic, its media, and the demands it places on individuals' knowledge and skills?

References

- DeSilvia, J. (2024, March 14). Al in history classrooms: How artificial intelligence can foster genuine learning [Historica]. *Historica Blog*. https://www.historica.org/blog/ai-in-history-classrooms
- Donovan, M. (2023, April 11). How AI is helping historians better understand our past. MIT Technology Review. https://www.technologyreview.com/2023/04/11/1071104/ai-helping-historians-analyze-past/
- Erll, A. (2011). Kollektives Gedächtnis und Erinnerungskulturen: Eine Einführung (2.th ed.). Stuttgart, Weimar: Verlag J.B. Metzler.
- Hughes-Warrington, M. (2024). Questions in historiography from the nineteenth century to the age of generative Al. *History and Theory*, *63*(2), 259–271. https://doi.org/10.1111/hith.12338
- Kindenberg, B. (2024). ChatGPT-generated and student-written historical narratives: A comparative analysis. *Education Sciences*, 14(5), 530. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14050530
- Langer, A. (2023, October 19). Generative AI and historical authority.

 History@Work: The NCPH Blog. https://ncph.org/history-at-work/generative-ai-and-historical-authority/
- Meadows, R. D., & Sternfeld, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence and the practice of history: a forum. *The American Historical Review*, *128*(3), 1345–1349. https://doi.org/10.1093/ahr/rhad362
- Schreer, O., Worchel, M., Diaz, R., Renault, S., Morgenstern, W., Feldmann, I., Eisert, P. (2022). Preserving memories of contemporary witnesses using volumetric video. *i-com*, 21(1), 71–82. https://doi.org/10.1515/icom-2022-0015. Sternfeld, J. (2023). Al-as-Historian. The American Historical Review, 128(3), 1372-- 1377. https://doi.org/10.1093/ahr/rhad368
- Trust, T., & Maloy, R. W. (2023). Al in the history classroom: Strategies for rethinking teaching and learning. *Teaching History*, *57*(3), 10–15. https://doi.org/10.3316/informit.322842139237015
- Wasnik, K. (2023, July 11). How can ai be used to teach history? *Medium*. https://medium.com/@kshitijwasnik20/how-can-ai-be-used-to-teach-history-58a616a72cf8



Submitting to this special series

Expressions of interest due: January 1, 2025 **Due date for paper submission**: July 1, 2025

Expressions of interest in the form of an abstract of 300-500 words, up to six references, and a 50-word biographical statement for each author should be sent by email to the handling editors: Heike Bormuth heike.bormuth@uni-hamburg.de, Lindsay Gibson lindsay.gibson@ubc.ca, Andreas Körber andreas.koerber@uni-hamburg.de, and Jon Nichol jonnichol17@gmail.com

Formatting guidelines

Please consult the notes for authors on the journal's webpage at https://journals.uclpress.co.uk/herj/site/author-guidelines/.

About the journal

The *History Education Research Journal* (HERJ) is an international, fully openaccess, peer-reviewed journal that focuses on the global significance and impact of history education. It covers all aspects of history education theory, practice, scholarship, and pure and applied research. Publications in the journal address contemporary issues, concerns, policies and practice, drawing upon the full range of research methodologies relating to history education research.

UCL Press journals <u>do not</u> levy an Article-Processing Charge (APC) for submission or publication in this journal. Contributors to the *History Education Research Journal* <u>will not be required</u> to make an APC payment for submission or publication of their article.

For more information about the journal please visit the journal at https://journals.uclpress.co.uk/heri or by scanning the QR code, or you can contact us by email at herj@ucl.ac.uk.



