UCLPRESS

Article title: Research priorities for maintaining biodiversitys contributions to people in Latin America Authors: Richard Pearson, Enrique Martínez-Meyer, Mercedes Andrade Velázquez, Mercedes Caron, Rogelio Corona-Núñez, Katrina Davis, América Paz Durán, Rodrigo García Morales, Talya Hackett, Daniel Ingram, Rafael Loyola Díaz, Julián Lescano, Andrés Lira-Noriega, Yolanda López-Maldonado, Daniela Manuschevich, Alma Mendoza, Ben Milligan, Simon Mills, Darío Moreira-Arce, Luzma Nava, Vicencio Oostra, Nathan Owen, David Prieto-Torres, Clarita Rodríguez Soto, Thomas Smith, Andrew Suggitt, Camila Tejo Haristoy, Jorge Velásquez-Tibatá, Sandra Díaz, Pablo Marquet Affiliations: centre for biodiversity and environment research, department of genetics, evolution and environment, university college london, london, uk[1], departamento de zoología, instituto de biología, universidad nacional autónoma de méxico, ciudad de méxico, méxico; & centro del cambio global y la sustentabilidad ac, villahermosa, méxico[2], centro del cambio global y la sustentabilidad ac, villahermosa, méxico[3], instituto multidisciplinario de biología vegetal (imbiv), universidad nacional de córdoba (unc), conicet, córdoba, argentina[4], procesos y sistemas de información en geomática, sa de cv. calle 5 viveros de peten no. 18, col. viveros del valle, tlalnepantla, cp 54060, edo. de mex, mexico[5], land, environment, economics and policy institute, university of exeter business school, xfi building, rennes drive, exeter, uk[6], instituto de ecología y biodiversidad, casilla 653, santiago, chile[7], department of zoology, university of oxford, oxford, uk[8], instituto de diversidad y ecología animal (idea), universidad nacional de córdoba, consejo nacional de investigaciones científicas y técnicas (conicet), córdoba, argentina[9], instituto de ecología, a.c. carretera antigua a coatepec 351, col. el haya, xalapa, veracruz, méxico[10], ludwig maximilian university of munich, department of geography, munich, germany[11], universidad academia de humanismo cristiano, escuela de geografía. condell 343, providencia, santiago, chile[12], international institute for applied systems analysis (iiasa), schloßpl. 1, laxenburg, 2361, vienna, austria[13], institute for sustainable resources, university college london, london, uk[14], department of animal and plant sciences, university of sheffield, sheffield, uk.[15], departamento de manejo de bosques y medio ambiente, facultad de ciencias forestales, universidad de concepción, chile[16], centro del cambio global y la sustentabilidad ac, villahermosa, méxico; & international institute for applied systems analysis (iiasa), schloßpl. 1, laxenburg, 2361, vienna, austria[17], centre for biodiversity and environment research, department of genetics, evolution and environment, university college london, london, uk; & research centre for ecological change, organismal and evolutionary biology research programme, university of helsinki, helsinki, finland[18], museo de zoología, departamento de biología evolutiva, facultad de ciencias, universidad nacional autónoma de méxico, méxico city, méxico[19], centro de estudios e investigación en desarrollo sustentable, universidad autónoma del estado de méxico, toluca, méxico[20], sustainability research institute, school of earth and environment, university of leeds, leeds, uk[21], department of biology, university of york, york, uk[22], instituto de conservación, biodiversidad y territorio. facultad de ciencias forestales y recursos naturales, universidad austral de chile, valdivia, chile; & center for climate and resilience research, facultad de ciencias físicas y matemáticas, universidad de chile, santiago, chile[23], nasca conservation program, the nature conservancy, bogotá, colombia[24], instituto multidisciplinario de biología vegetal, conicet and universidad nacional de córdoba, córdoba, argentina[25], departamento de ecología, facultad de ciencias biológicas, pontificia universidad católica de chile, chile; & instituto de ecología y biodiversidad (ieb), laboratorio internacional en cambio global (lincglobal), centro de cambio global uc (pucglobal), the santa fe institute, and centro de ciencias de la complejidad (c3), universidad autónoma de méxico, méxico[26]

Orcid ids: 0000-0002-3458-0539[1]

Contact e-mail: richard.pearson@ucl.ac.uk

License information: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY) 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and

- 1 Research priorities for maintaining biodiversity's contributions to people
- 2 in Latin America

3

- 4 Richard G. Pearson¹, Enrique Martínez-Meyer^{2,3}, Mercedes Andrade Velázquez³,
- 5 Mercedes Caron⁴, Rogelio O. Corona-Núñez⁵, Katrina Davis⁶, América Paz Durán⁷,
- 6 Rodrigo García Morales³, Talya D. Hackett⁸, Daniel J. Ingram¹, Rafael Loyola Díaz³,
- 7 Julián Lescano⁹, Andrés Lira-Noriega¹⁰, Yolanda López-Maldonado¹¹, Daniela
- 8 Manuschevich¹², Alma Mendoza¹³, Ben Milligan¹⁴, Simon C. Mills¹⁵, Darío Moreira-
- 9 Arce¹⁶, Luzma F. Nava^{3,13}, Vicencio Oostra^{1,17}, Nathan Owen⁶, David Prieto-Torres¹⁸,
- 10 Clarita Rodríguez Soto¹⁹, Thomas Smith²⁰, Andrew J. Suggitt²¹, Camila Tejo
- 11 Haristoy^{22,23}, Jorge Velásquez-Tibatá²⁴, Sandra Díaz²⁵, and Pablo A. Marquet^{26,27}

12

Correspondence: Richard G. Pearson, <u>richard.pearson@ucl.ac.uk</u>

14

- 15 Keywords: Ecosystem services, environmental change, capacity building, investment in
- research, data availability, knowledge systems, governance

- 18 Abstract: Maintaining biodiversity is crucial for ensuring human well-being. We participated
- in a workshop held in Palenque, Mexico, in August 2018, that brought together thirty mostly
- 20 early-career scientists working in different disciplines (natural, social and economic
- 21 sciences) with the aim of identifying research priorities for studying the contributions of
- 22 biodiversity to people and how these contributions might be impacted by environmental
- change. Five main groups of questions emerged: (1) Enhancing the quantity, quality, and
- 24 availability of biodiversity data; (2) Integrating different knowledge systems; (3) Improved
- 25 methods for integrating diverse data; (4) Fundamental questions in ecology and evolution;

and (5) Multi-level governance across boundaries. We discuss the need for increased 26 capacity building and investment in research programs to address these challenges. 27 28 29 Biodiversity contributes to people's quality of life, for example by pollinating crops, 30 controlling pests, promoting soil fertility, and providing goods and aesthetic pleasure. 31 32 Maintaining biodiversity to secure the supply of these benefits is crucial for ensuring human well-being, including through economic development and poverty alleviation (IPBES 2019). 33 34 We participated in a workshop held in Palenque, Mexico, 28-30 August 2018, that brought together thirty mostly early-career scientists working in different disciplines (natural, social 35 and economic sciences) from across Latin America and the UK. Our aim was to identify 36 research priorities for studying the manifold contributions of biodiversity to people and how 37 these contributions might be impacted by environmental change. The workshop focused on 38 Latin America, which has particular challenges related to conserving globally significant 39 biodiversity while addressing social and economic problems (Balvanera et al., 2012), but all 40 of the points discussed will resonate with similar challenges in other regions of the world. 41 42 Here we provide a summary of the key research priorities identified in the workshop. 43 Research priorities were identified through a series of break-out discussion groups followed 44 by plenary discussions in which participants first identified a broad set of candidate 45 questions, before iteratively paring the long list down and grouping them by topic. 46 Discussions centred around key research questions that need to be answered to inform policy 47

decision-making. We also discussed the feasibility of answering each question, and the

funding and capacity building mechanisms that will be needed. Our list is by no means

exhaustive and is subjective in so far as it is based on expert opinion of those participating in

48

49

the workshop, but we see particular value in this being the opinions of early-career researchers who will themselves push forward this research agenda over the coming decades.

Our goal here is to share the overarching conclusions of our workshop with a view to

stimulating future in-depth research into these important topics.

Priority research questions

Five main groups of questions emerged, which we summarize below and in Table 1. A first topic centred around how the quantity and quality of data relating to biodiversity could be enhanced, and how those data could be made more widely available to diverse users. High quality baseline data relating to multiple dimensions of biodiversity – genetic, taxonomic, phylogenetic, and functional – is often lacking and yet is fundamental to understanding responses to environmental change. We therefore identified a need to establish more rapid biodiversity assessment programs, to strengthen long-term monitoring programs, to use standardized collection protocols, and to use modern technologies such as eDNA and remote sensing to capture data. Moreover, although significant progress in data sharing has been achieved in recent years (e.g., through the Global Biodiversity Information Facility, GBIF), data are too often inaccessible to relevant stakeholders. More activity in compiling large datasets (e.g., Salguero-Gómez *et al.*, 2014; Salguero-Gómez *et al.*, 2016; Jones *et al.*, 2009; Kattge *et al.*, 2011) is needed, and as a community we need to incentivise data sharing, for instance through promotions criteria that recognize contributions to shared repositories (e.g., Navarro-Sigüenza *et al.*, 2003).

77

Enhancing the quantity, quality, and availability of biodiversity data

How can we accelerate the collection of biodiversity data?

How can we facilitate access to and sharing of ecological, environmental, and socially relevant data?

Integrating different knowledge systems

Does incorporating different world views result in better management of biodiversity and the associated benefits for humans?

How do power imbalances influence the integration of different values in the governance of ecosystem services?

Improved methods for integrating diverse data

How can we best integrate data from various sources and across different spatial and temporal scales?

How can we improve the uptake of methods that consider uncertainty, ecological interactions, non-linear and synergistic effects?

Fundamental questions in ecology and evolution

How does the distribution of genetic variation across the genome and across species' geographical ranges determine capacity for evolutionary adaptation to rapid anthropogenic change?

How sensitive are ecological communities to perturbation, how robust are they to species loss, and what aspects of the community determine this?

Multi-level governance across boundaries

How can we conserve, restore or enhance ecosystems and biodiversity, and associated benefit and detriment flows, that extend across local or national boundaries?

How can (or should) nested scales of governance (local, national, international; public, private) be coordinated and reformed to enhance benefits to people from biodiversity and ecosystems?

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

A second set of questions focused on the challenge of integrating different world views and value systems. The Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) has adopted a framing that uses the notion of "nature's contributions to people" (NCP; Díaz *et al.*, 2018), which fully includes, but goes beyond, that of ecosystem services. The NCP approach recognizes the role that culture plays in defining links between people and nature, and incorporates local and traditional knowledge (Berkes 2012) alongside that of Western science. This raises important questions about how exactly different world views can be integrated in biodiversity studies and whether doing so results in better management of benefits and detriments to people. Central to these questions will be issues relating to power imbalances, since power dynamics strongly influence what aspects of

biodiversity are prioritized for research and are particularly relevant to the quality of life of marginalized people.

Our third category of questions included diverse issues relating to the need for improved methods of analysis. As increasing quantities of data are made available from different sources, at varying spatial and temporal scales, and relating to diverse phenomena in natural and social sciences, there is a need for more transdisciplinary methods that can help us to make sense of these rich sources of information. Such methods will need to incorporate robust ways to deal with uncertainty, and must allow for the consideration of complex, nonlinear, and delayed responses resulting from ecological interactions (e.g., Staniczenko *et al.*, 2017) and synergies between threats (e.g., Brook *et al.*, 2008).

A fourth set of questions focused on areas of research that are currently hot topics in ecology and evolutionary biology, and that are deemed of key importance for ensuring adequate management of biodiversity and the sustainability of its contributions to people. A wealth of questions was discussed relating to the responses of individuals, populations, species, and communities to environmental perturbations, and the functional responses that will define the benefits that people derive from nature. In some cases the questions related to classic debates (such as concerning the relationship between diversity and stability; Cardinale *et al.*, 2012) and there was scepticism that they would be answered in the next five to ten years. However, several questions were viewed as both pressing in an applied sense and also feasible to answer in light of new methods, particularly with regard to generating a more mechanistic understanding of how biodiversity responds to anthropogenic change.

A final set of questions concerned governance challenges, especially relating to the transboundary management of biodiversity and ecosystems, and the links between public and private sectors. Transboundary management is essential given the globalised or transnational nature of environmental change drivers, and the spatial misalignment of governance boundaries and ecosystems. This also relates to the need for biodiversity datasets that extend across multiple countries and are widely available in standardized formats, in line with the first category of questions that we identify above. Governance reforms will be necessary to meet each country's international commitments, such as under the Convention on Biological Diversity and through the Sustainable Development Goals, yet further research is needed as to how collective decision making, institutions and norms can or should mediate, allocate or otherwise influence flows of benefits to people from ecosystems and biodiversity.

What is needed to answer the questions?

Latin America will play an important part in the future of global change at the planetary scale; for example, deforestation in the Amazon and melting of Patagonia's glaciers will strongly affect the hydrological cycle and climate across the Americas and possibly beyond. Yet most nations in Latin America have biodiversity and ecosystem research low down their agendas. Enhancing human well-being requires that we increase efforts to protect and restore the many ways in which biodiversity contributes to people and ensure that those contributions are long lasting and accessible to all. In order to foster and accelerate research that will address the key questions that we have identified, we recommend: (1) A focus on capacity building to educate transdisciplinary researchers, increase transboundary training, meet training needs in less well-served regions, and retain young researchers in the region; and (2) Investment in research programs that are transdisciplinary, support international collaboration across the region and beyond (such as through the Newton Fund that funded our workshop),

are long-term, and are of sufficient magnitude to realistically address these challenging 138 research needs. 139 140 Acknowledgements 141 The workshop was supported by a Researcher Links grant (ID 2017-RLWK9-358985276) 142 under the Newton Fund. The grant was funded by the UK Department for Business, Energy 143 144 and Industrial Strategy and delivered by the British Council. Daniela Manuschevich was also supported by CONICYT FONDECYT grant 11150281. We thank Chris Langridge, Susana 145 146 Fallas, Fabiola de la Cruz, and Humberto Gallegos for their help in the organization of the workshop, and the Centro del Cambio Global y la Sustentabilidad, AC for the logistic 147 support. 148 149 **Author contributions** 150 RP, EMM, SD and PM led the workshop. All authors participated in discussion sessions at 151 the workshop and contributed to the report. Authors 3-28 are listed alphabetically. 152 153 References 154 Balvanera P, Uriarte M, Almeida-Leñero L, Altesor A, DeClerck F, Gardner T, Hall J et al. 155 2012. Ecosystem Services Research in Latin America: The State of the Art. 156 Ecosystem Services 2: 56–70. 157 Berkes F. 2012. Sacred Ecology. New York: Routledge. 158 Brook BW, Sodhi NS, and Bradshaw, CJA 2008. Synergies among Extinction Drivers under 159 Global Change. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 23 (8): 453–60. 160 Cardinale BJ, Duffy JE, Gonzalez A, Hooper DU, Perrings C, Venail P, Narwani A et al. 161 2012. Biodiversity Loss and Its Impact on Humanity. *Nature* 486 (7401): 59–67. 162

163	Díaz S, Pascual U, Stenseke M, Martín-López b, Watson RT, Molnár Z, Hill R et al. 2018.
164	Assessing Nature's Contributions to People. Science 359 (6373): 270–72.
165	IPBES. 2019. Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the
166	Intergovernmental Science- Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services.
167	E. S. Brondizio, J. Settele, S. Díaz, and H. T. Ngo (editors). IPBES Secretariat, Bonn,
168	Germany.
169	Jones, KE, Bielby J, Cardillo M, Fritz SA, O'Dell J, Orme CDL, Safi K et al. 2009.
170	PanTHERIA: A Species-Level Database of Life History, Ecology, and Geography of
171	Extant and Recently Extinct Mammals. <i>Ecology</i> 90 (9): 2648–2648.
172	Kattge J, Díaz S, Lavorel S, Prentice IC, Leadley P, Bönisch G, Garnier E et al. 2011. TRY –
173	a Global Database of Plant Traits. Global Change Biology 17 (9): 2905-35.
174	Navarro-Sigüenza AG, Peterson AT, Gordillo-Martínez A 2003. Museums Working
175	Together: The Atlas of the Birds of Mexico. Bulletin of the British Ornithologists'
176	Club 123A: 207–225.
177	Salguero-Gómez R, Jones OR, Archer CR, Bein C, de Buhr H, Farack C, Gottschalk F et al.
178	2016. COMADRE: A Global Data Base of Animal Demography. Journal of Animal
179	Ecology 85 (2): 371–84.
180	Salguero-Gómez R, Jones OR, Archer CR, Buckley YM, Che-Castaldo J, Caswell H,
181	Hodgson D et al. 2014. The Compadre Plant Matrix Database: An Open Online
182	Repository for Plant Demography. <i>Journal of Ecology</i> 103 (1): 202–18.
183	Staniczenko PPA, Sivasubramaniam P, Suttle KB, Pearson RG. 2017. Linking Macroecology
184	and Community Ecology: Refining Predictions of Species Distributions Using Biotic
185	Interaction Networks. Ecology Letters 20 (6): 693–707.
186	

Affiliations

- 189 ¹ Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research, Department of Genetics, Evolution and
- 190 Environment, University College London, London, UK.
- 191 ² Departamento de Zoología, Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de
- 192 México, Ciudad de México, México.
- 193 ³ Centro del Cambio Global y la Sustentabilidad AC, Villahermosa, México.
- ⁴ Instituto Multidisciplinario de Biología Vegetal (IMBIV), Universidad Nacional de
- 195 Córdoba (UNC), CONICET, Córdoba, Argentina.
- ⁵ Procesos y Sistemas de Información en Geomática, SA de CV. Calle 5 Viveros de Peten No.
- 197 18, Col. Viveros del Valle, Tlalnepantla, CP 54060, Edo. de Mex, Mexico.
- 198 ⁶ Land, Environment, Economics and Policy Institute, University of Exeter Business
- 199 School, Xfi Building, Rennes Drive, Exeter, UK.
- ⁷ Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad, Casilla 653, Santiago, Chile.
- 201 ⁸ Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.
- ⁹ Instituto de Diversidad y Ecología Animal (IDEA), Universidad Nacional de Córdoba,
- 203 Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Córdoba,
- 204 Argentina.
- 205 ¹⁰ Instituto de Ecología, A.C. Carretera antigua a Coatepec 351, Col. El Haya, Xalapa,
- 206 Veracruz, México.
- 207 ¹¹ Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Department of Geography, Munich, Germany.
- 208 ¹² Universidad Academia de Humanismo Cristiano, Escuela de Geografía. Condell 343,
- 209 Providencia. Santiago, Chile.
- 210 ¹³ International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), Schloßpl. 1, Laxenburg, 2361,
- 211 Vienna, Austria.
- 212 ¹⁴ Institute for Sustainable Resources, University College London, London, UK.
- 213 ¹⁵ Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.
- 214 *If Departamento de Manejo de Bosques y Medio Ambiente, Facultad de Ciencias Forestales,*
- 215 Universidad de Concepción, Chile.
- 216 ¹⁷ Research Centre for Ecological Change, Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Research
- 217 Programme, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
- 218 ¹⁸ Museo de Zoología, Departamento de Biología Evolutiva, Facultad de Ciencias,
- 219 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México City, México.
- 220 ¹⁹ Centro de Estudios e Investigación en Desarrollo Sustentable, Universidad Autónoma del
- 221 Estado de México, Toluca, México.
- 222 ²⁰ Sustainability Research Institute, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds,
- 223 Leeds, UK.
- 224 ²¹ Department of Biology, University of York, York, UK.
- 225 ²² Instituto de Conservación, Biodiversidad y Territorio. Facultad de Ciencias Forestales y
- 226 Recursos Naturales, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.
- 227 ²³ Center for Climate and Resilience Research, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas,
- 228 Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile.
- 229 ²⁴NASCA Conservation Program, The Nature Conservancy, Bogotá, Colombia.
- 230 ²⁵ Instituto Multidisciplinario de Biología Vegetal, CONICET and Universidad Nacional de
- 231 *Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina.*
- 232 ²⁶ Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad
- 233 Católica de Chile, Chile.
- 234 ²⁷ Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad (IEB), Laboratorio Internacional en Cambio Global
- 235 (LINCGlobal), Centro de Cambio Global UC (PUCGlobal), The Santa Fe Institute, and
- 236 Centro de Ciencias de la Complejidad (C3), Universidad Autónoma de México, México.