# **UCLPRESS**

Article title: Ticking Time Bomb: Implications of the Covid-19 Lockdown on E-Waste Management in Developing Countries

Authors: Oludamilola Adejumo[1], Olubisi Friday Oluduro[2]

Affiliations: Department of Business Law, Obafemi Awolowo University[1], Department of Public Law, Obafemi Awolowo

University[2]

Orcid ids: 0000-0002-0340-804X[1]

Contact e-mail: oaadejumo@oauife.edu.ng

**License information:** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY) 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Preprint statement:** This article is a preprint and has not been peer-reviewed, under consideration and submitted to

UCL Open: Environment Preprint for open peer review.

DOI: 10.14324/111.444/000065.v1

Preprint first posted online: 27 January 2021

Keywords: coronavirus, COVID-19 lockdown, e-waste, waste management, developing countries, pollution, Environmental

protection, Environmental policy and practice, Pollution and health

Professor Dan Osborn Editor-in-Chief UCL Open UCL Open: Environment

Dear Professor Osborn,

We are delighted to submit our manuscript titled "Ticking Time Bomb: Implications of the Covid-19 Lockdown on E-Waste Management in Developing Countries" for publication in the journal UCL Open: Environment.

This manuscript corresponds to a detailed open commentary article as outlined in your list of accepted article types. My co-author and I are lecturers at the Faculty of Law, Obafemi Awolowo University in Nigeria. We both teach environmental Law among other law courses.

The article discusses the impact of the imposition of the COVID-19 lockdown to minimize physical interactions on the environment. We focus specifically on the resort to information, communication and technological (ICT) based measures to bridge the gaps created by the lockdown. We highlight the fact that the likely increase in use of electronic devices will lead to increased generation of electronic waste. We discussed the existing challenge of e-waste management and disposal in developing countries; its health hazards; and the fact that the existing frameworks are inadequate to manage the resultant increase in e-waste during, and post COVID-19 era. The paper calls for caution particularly on the part of developing countries in embracing these ICT based options without putting in place measures to ensure increased capacity to manage and dispose e-waste.

We look forward to your kind consideration.

Thank you.

Yourş Sincerely,

Oluwadamilola Adejumo

ORCID: 0000-0002-0340-804X

Type text here

# TICKING TIME BOMB: IMPLICATIONS OF THE COVID-19 LOCKDOWN ON E-

# WASTE MANAGEMENT IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

Oluwadamilola A. Adejumo\* and Olubisi F. Oluduro\*\*

#### **ABSTRACT**

The coronavirus pandemic has altered the course of events globally since the outbreak of the corona virus disease (COVID-19) late 2019 giving further given credence to the long standing believe that the world is indeed a global village. There have been different responses by countries to the raging pandemic including imposition of lockdowns, quarantine and isolation. The imposition of the lockdown whether full or partial, has not been without major consequences leading to resort to information, communication and technological (ICT) based measures to minimize the effect of the lockdown and as an alternative to physical interactions. The use of ICT devices to bridge the gaps created by the lockdown on schools, business offices and other sectors, has led to increased use of electronic devices. The challenge of electronic waste (e-waste) management in developing countries has been on for a while and the increased use of electronic devices is likely to compound the challenge during, and post COVID-19. Whilst the development of ICT based options as viable alternative to face-to-face interactions may not be a negative development, the paper argues that the existing frameworks are inadequate to manage the resultant increase in e-waste in most developing countries and that there is need more than ever before for developing countries to exercise caution in embracing these ICT based options without putting in place measures to ensure that there is increased capacity to manage and dispose the e-waste created thereby.

**Keywords:** coronavirus, COVID-19 lockdown, developing countries, e-waste, e-waste management, non-physical interactions.

# **Data availability Statement**

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest with this work.

**Funding:** No funding was received for conduct of this research.

\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>\*</sup>Lecturer, Faculty of Law, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria and doctoral Candidate, Faculty of Law, University of Benin, Nigeria.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Law, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

## INTRODUCTION

The corona virus pandemic has altered the course of events globally since the outbreak of the corona virus disease (COVID-19) late 2019 in Wuhan China. The event has further given credence to the long standing believe that the world is indeed a global village. The virus has spread across countries leading to the declaration of the COVID-19 as a global pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) in March 2020. [1] As of 20th January, 2021, a total of 94, 963, 847 cases have been recorded and about 2, 050, 857 deaths recorded across the world. [2] There have been different responses by countries to the raging pandemic including imposition of lockdowns, quarantine and isolation. Rwanda was the first African country to impose a national lockdown followed by other African countries imposing either total or partial lockdown. [3] The imposition of the lockdown whether full or partial, has not been without major consequences impacting on almost every sector of the economy including the educational, aviation, health and environmental sectors. The resort to information, communication and technological (ICT) measures to minimize the effect of the lockdown and as an alternative to face-face activities has increased significantly. The use of ICT devices to bridge the gaps created by the lockdown on schools, business offices and other crucial sectors, has led to increased use of electronic devices which were initially considered optional or luxurious. The increased use of these devices is not without consequences on the environment. Some of the likely challenges is the increased importation of electronic waste (e-waste) in the name of used electronic devices and the challenge of disposal and management of these devices once they reach end of life stage and become waste. The challenge of e-waste management in developing countries has been on for a while and yet to reach a decisive stage in terms of the resolution of the challenge and thus, the increased use of devices is likely to compound the challenge.

The aim of this paper therefore is to discuss the environmental impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on developing countries highlighting the challenges inherent in the likely increase in use of electronic and ICT options during the pandemic.

The first part of the paper provides some background information on the COVID-19. The second part discusses the implication of the pandemic and the impact of the lockdown on usual activities whilst emphasising the increased reliance on ICT devices as against usual physical interactions. The paper argues that the lockdown has both positive and negative options which if not adequately controlled and planned for, will create increased e-waste which most developing countries in Africa lack the capacity to manage effectively. The third part provides an overview of electronic waste (e-waste) and discusses the challenges of e-waste disposal and management particularly in developing countries. The fourth part of the paper highlights existing legal and institutional framework to manage e-waste. The fifth part concludes that the development of ICT options as viable alternative to face-to-face interactions may not be a negative development, however, the existing frameworks are inadequate to manage the resultant increase in e-waste and there is need for measures to minimize the generation of such wastes and its proper disposal during and post COVID-19 lockdown.

# I. THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

The COVID-19 is a novelle global pandemic caused by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2), of the large family of viruses causing severe diseases, such as the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS), and Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS).<sup>[4]</sup> It broke out in Wuhan, China around November 2019, spreading quickly across other parts of the globe in less than six months.<sup>[5]</sup> The WHO on 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 declared that the outbreak constitutes a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC).<sup>[6]</sup> It is now affecting about sixteen million people, with more than half a million fatalities globally. It is transmitted primarily from person to person, through droplets from coughing or

sneezing or speaking by an infected person to others around him who inhale these droplets – community transmission. It could be airborne, as well as through touching of contaminated surfaces like doorknobs, even currencies in circulation and touching their face – eyes, nose or mouth with the hands. [4] Its incubation period is between two and fourteen days, with symptoms including dry cough, fever, fatigue, loss of smell and taste, as well as shortness of breath, sore throat, skin rashes or discolouration of fingers or toes, nasal congestion, leading difficulty in breathing. It may however, be spread before or without manifestation of symptoms asymptomatic carriers. It may start off as mild to moderate illness, progressing to serious cases including blood clots and multi-organ failures. Some may not require hospitalisation, but for people with underlying medical cases such as diabetes, high blood pressure, cancer, heart and lung problems, etc., particularly adults usually in the upper age bracket, 50 years and above, may be at higher risk as it may result in death or serious health implications after treatment. [4] Research is ongoing on the exact cause of the virus, but it is believed that bats are the mutation agents for the virus, [7] which undergoes certain mutations from which it is transmitted to human beings. Infection figures vary from country to country around the world, with the United States of America leading the fray, daily infection figures are still on the rise. The US alone accounts for almost a quarter of the global figures, [8] while on the African continent, which has the lowest infection rates among other regions of the world, South Africa has the highest infection rates. [8] Africa's low infection rates remain baffling to the rest of the world. Preventive measures such as social distancing, frequent hand washing with water and soap, or rubbing hands with alcohol-based hand sanitisers, use of face masks in public settings are some of the measures to curtail the spread of the virus.

III. IMPLICATIONS OF COVID-19 LOCKDOWN AND INCREASED RELIANCE ON ICT ALTERNATIVES TO PHYSICAL INTERACTIONS

As part of measures to ensure social distancing and reduce the spread of COVID-19, lockdown was imposed in countries across the world. Mitra *et al* in their study reported that transmission of COVID-19 is *via* airborne droplets and to effectively control its spread, there is need to reduce concentration of persons in public meeting places. <sup>[9]</sup> Indeed, this appears to be the rationale for the imposition of either total or partial lockdowns by most countries in response to the outbreak of COVID-19.

The lockdown led to closure of schools, hospitals, offices and business, reduced local business travel among others. Only a few essential services were operating. The closure of schools for instance meant that pupils were home and measures were put in place to ensure they were engaged while at home. Toquero reported that higher educational institutions were closed in about 188 countries across the world as of 6th April, 2020 owing to vulnerability of school settings to the spread of the COVID-19. [10] The closure led to the introduction of online system of learning which most schools were not prepared for although some countries like Hong Kong and China reverted to online mode of teaching when schools had to be closed during the outbreak of the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome in 2003. Mitra et al also corroborated the fact that teaching and assessment of students have moved online, on an untested and unprecedented scale. [9] This pattern is likely to continue even after the pandemic as there is a strong likelihood that the e-learning will continue to complement traditional learning patterns. Apart from the closure of schools, the imposition of local or national lockdowns also resulted in the closure of health services which were deemed non-essential. [11] The increasing number of COVID-19 cases has caused a huge strain on the health care systems in most countries with facilities and personnel required for management and treatment of patients getting strained. Also, the fact that health care workers are exposed to high risk of infection by COVID 19 patients in the course of treatment of these patients [12] made the desire to visit hospitals to seek care for other ailments non-desirable.

The rate of infection of HCWs across the globe is becoming increasingly worrisome. In Africa alone, the WHO in May 2020 reported infection of 945 health workers in 28 countries in the region with South Africa having the highest number of infected health workers. <sup>[13]</sup> Updated report released by 26th May, 2020 revealed that Nigeria has a record of the highest number in the region with 606 health workers having been infected with the coronavirus. <sup>[14]</sup> This has made the resort to non-physical visits to hospital for consultation and treatment a preferred option via tele-medicine channels. Vidal-Alaball *et al* <sup>[15]</sup> posit that tele-medicine is capable of providing support to the healthcare systems in the midst of this pandemic whilst advocating for its continued use even after the pandemic ends. They reported that countries like China, South Korea, Spain, United States of America, Japan and many European countries are at different stages of experimenting and implementing telemedicine. O'Leary also reported the development of various applications (apps) to slow the spread of COVID-19. The apps are used to track the coronavirus or to check symptoms. All these apps require a smart phone to use them as the app uses information from the user's phone to determine if they have been in contact with any person with the virus. <sup>[16]</sup>

In the same vein, religious activities have also moved largely online with services and prayer meetings being conducted on different online platforms across the world. Places of worship recognized the spiritual needs of the faithful, and created access to their programmes through social media platforms holding livestream worship on Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, websites and other platforms. [17] E-commerce, contact free buying and selling and business meetings have also thrived in a bid to ensure social distancing. The 2020 Annual General Meeting (AGM) of the Nigerian Bar Association for instance was a strictly online event, and was the first ever virtual AGM to be held by the Association. [18] All these virtual events and activities will require use of one form of electronic device or the other.

Goldschmidt reported growing reliance on the use of technology to learn, live, and stay connected. The study placed emphasis on use of technology to leverage and maintain social, physical, emotional and even spiritual wellbeing for children as closure of parks, playgrounds and other social services centres were not uncommon during the pandemic [17] with children having to rely largely on use of devices for play and entertainment.

Although the lockdown has come with some gains for the environment with reports of significant reduction in emissions and pollution <sup>[9,19]</sup>, the lockdown also comes with looming challenges for the environment if adequate plans are not put in place to address them. O'Leary particularly notes that there is a strong likelihood that business, organizations, culture, and society will be forever changed by all the adaptations to the pandemic as solutions generated by the information systems and technology communities will be used even in future settings and post-COVID-19 pandemic. <sup>[16]</sup>

# IV. CHALLENGES OF E-WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSAL IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

E-wastes consist of damaged or outdated electronic devices, products, materials and spare parts. They are electronic devices which are discarded or dumped by the owners or buyers after the end of its useful life, or because of rapid expansion of technology, or consumption change in society. These may not necessarily be out rightly damaged, but may be refurbished, reused (working and repairable electronics), resold, refined, or salvaged through recycling.

The world is currently generating e-waste faster than it can be recycled or repurposed. Electronic waste will increase to 52 million metric tonnes by 2021, and 120 million tonnes yearly by 2050, of which only 20% would be recycled. [20,21] As a result of this, e-wastes are generally imported, mostly illegally to developing countries of Africa from the developed countries of Europe, America and Asia, notwithstanding the ban on same through various international Conventions. [22-26] They are peculiar in that they are known to contain hazardous

substances like toxic metals and organic chemicals, sake of which they require due care in handling, failing which they can cause an array of diseases in humans handling them inappropriately. Such diseases include genomic, respiratory, neurodevelopment, reproductive, and hormonal systems. [27] Waste management has been the bane of many countries, more so electronic waste otherwise called end-of-life-electronics equipment (EEE).

Throughout much of human history, waste has always had an inherent value, <sup>[28]</sup> yet waste management is one of the greatest environmental challenges, as enormous wastes are generated daily resulting in gargantuan annual volumes globally, running to more than 50 million metric tonnes of defunct electronic products. The higher percentage of these are classified as hazardous because they contain toxic chemicals.

Used electronic equipment are dumped on the developing countries daily by the developed countries in very high degree. The unfortunate aspect of this is that, the former lacks the requisite technologies and techniques for processing e-waste, hence primitive recycling technologies are employed by these which expose them to significant health risks through contacts with a range of hazardous substances contained in them such as lead, brominated flame retardants, chromium, lithium, mercury, cadmium, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Nigeria's fast-growing second computer industry enhances large scale computer waste importation, with the nation's ports handling estimated 500 container loads each carrying about 500,000 used computer and other electronic equipment month, form the USA, Europe and Asia. Lagos, the commercial capital harbours two large second-hand electronics markets — Alaba International Market and the Computer Village, with up to 500 tons coming in daily, mostly shipped as used electronics. The is not uncommon in Nigerian markets to find people marketing United Kingdom (UK) used products as a preferred option to brand new electronic products which are believed to be of less durable quality. Slangs such as UK used are commonly used in marketing fairly used mobile phones and laptops.

The cost of recycling e-waste in developed countries also encourages shipment of used electronic equipment to developing countries which have a thriving market for these equipment and which have to deal with the responsibility to dispose them once they eventually pack up. Most of these devices end up being disposed improperly or by resort to primitive recycling methods. Fehm notes that e-waste recycling is not only quite expensive but also labour intensive as the parts are ordinarily not intended to be taken apart. <sup>[31]</sup> The process of recycling e-waste requires expensive machinery and sophisticated procedures which most developing countries cannot boast of. The resort to primitive recycling methods by developing countries may cause serious human health hazards particularly in children who are more vulnerable where their functional systems like the central nervous immune, reproductive and digestive systems which are still developing may be hampered by exposure to toxic substances, such damage which might be irreversible.

Recycling is a form of disposal of e-waste in Nigeria, springing up in Lagos and a couple of other cities. Though largely done informally, it is focused on selected valuable materials. Participants are either itinerant waste buyers or scavengers who target valuable materials such as plastics, CPUs, batteries, screens, glass and metals alike. Their activities can have an impact on the reduction of the net volume of disposed e-wastes. Also, burning of waste which has a well-documented association with the incidence of respiratory health symptoms among adults and children alike. Burning of toxic wastes produce chemicals such as dioxins, furans and dioxin-like poly chlorinated biphenyls which are suspected carcinogens that damage the nervous and immune systems, and are quite harmful even in miniscule quantities. [32,33]

Landfills are a standard method for disposing of wastes in the developed and developing worlds, however, improperly built landfills can cause environmental and human health problems. Just as densely packed organic matters produce methane as it rots, toxic wastes can cause even more devastation as it can cause explosions. Bacteria working on these matters can

break down waste acids and particulars which can be concentrated enough to dissolve poisonous heavy metals such as lead and cadmium among others. Water leaching through the landfill can carry such toxins into the groundwater or nearby bodies of water and from there, into drinking water and thus into the food chain. [34] The Olusosun landfill in Lagos is Africa's largest and the world's fourth largest, covering an estimated 43 hectares and 18 meters deep, being in existence for several decades with no plan to transform or close it. Aside from being a beehive of activities to hordes of scavengers daily sourcing for disposed waste items, it has been a place of accommodation to many (scavengers), who work at the site and live in tents atop the trash, creating a village on top of the trash. Besides, it is hedged in-between residential part of the bustling city, about 5 million live around 10 kilometres radius from the site. [30,35] The site is ever burning, releasing toxic fumes into the air with blanket of soot over the area, affecting the scavengers and residents in the area. Diseases such as skin irritation, dysentery, water related diseases, paralysis, nausea are reported by residents living around 3 kilometres radius from the site. [36]

The larger percentage of African countries are poor with the greater percentage of the people living off the environment, directly or otherwise attached to the land and the resources from it. [37] Coupled with this is the state of awareness of the people, as thousands of the scavengers are basically unaware of how dangerous their work is, which accounts for why human beings would with bare hands dismantle items which contain mercury, lead, beryllium, lithium among others, constituting great risk to their health. These could lead to adverse like inflammation and oxidative stress that could aggravate to cardiovascular diseases, chronic kidney diseases, cancer and DNA damage. [38]

# V. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK TO ADDRESS THE E-WASTE CHALLENGE

The first international attempt to address the exportation of e-waste from developed to developing countries was made under the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal which was adopted in 1989. [22] The Convention specifically addresses the vulnerability of LDCs due to the increasing likelihood of businesses from industrialized states disposing of their hazardous waste in cheaper as well as less environmentally regulated countries and promotes observance of environmentally sound waste management principles. [22] The United States which is one of the largest exporter of hazardous waste, has only signed but has not ratified the Basel Convention and continues to export hazardous waste, and in particular e-waste, to least developed countries. McAllister *et al* notes in this context that people most severely affected by e-waste are effectively unrecognized by those who are most responsible for generating the waste. [39]

The Bamako Convention came as a follow up to the Basel Convention in 1991.<sup>[23]</sup> The Convention is a regional response by African countries to address the issue of importation of hazardous waste to African Countries from developed countries.<sup>[40]</sup> Initially negotiated by 12 countries, the Bamako Convention currently has been ratified by 25 countries and the Convention is considered to be stronger than the Basel Convention in its prohibition of importation of hazardous waste as it allows no exceptions.<sup>[23,40]</sup> Obligations under the Bamako Convention includes an obligation to ensure minimum reduction of generation of hazardous waste and prevention and minimizing effect of pollution in management of hazardous wastes.<sup>[23]</sup>

Illegal dumping of e-waste by whatever means on the developing nations which have not the requisite technology to recycle same also constitutes a negation of Article 191 Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), which provides for the protection of human health, one of the objectives of the Community policy on the environment. The policy which aims at a high level of protection is based on precautionary principle, the requirements of which

must be integrated into the definition and implementation of other Community policies. It further flows from the case law of the European Court that the precautionary principle may also apply in policy on the protection of human health, which according to Article 168 TFEU, likewise aims at a high level of protection. [41] In the Wallonian Case, [42] the Court considered a Wallonian ban on the import of waste from anywhere outside the region of Belgium on the basis of proximity principle, namely that waste should be disposed of as close to the place of production as possible. This is to avoid environmental costs and risks of transporting the waste, and it establishes a principle of environmental equity. Clean places are not to bear the environmental costs generated by dirty places. Contrastingly, the Court in Dusseldorp Case, [43] an application to export two loads of oil filters for processing was refused by the Dutch authorities on the grounds that, under Dutch law, export of waste for recovery was only permitted if there were superior processing techniques abroad, or there was insufficient capacity in the Netherlands. The Court of Justice noted that such an export restriction provided an advantage for national facilities. The whole idea behind dumping of e-waste on developing countries where these goods may be subsequently processed in dangerous and inefficient conditions as obtained more particularly in the West African sub-region, harming the health of local people and damaging the environment negates substantially the provisions of the Basel Convention and the OECD rules on hazardous and toxic wastes, to which some of these developed countries are parties. [29]

Various international calls for action in recent times include the Libreville Declaration being the first Inter-Ministerial Conference on Health and he Environment in Africa in 2008, the Busan Pledge for Action on Children's Environmental Health 2009, and the Strategic Approach to Integrated Chemical Management's expanded Global Plan of Action ICCMM3 2012. [24] The world's first forum on e-waste was convened in 2006 at the 8<sup>th</sup> meeting of the CoP to the Basel Convention, leading to the Nairobi Declaration on creating innovative solutions for the

environmentally sound management of electronic wastes, but this does not seem to have any form of bearing or influence on many of the developing countries take on the subject-matter as illegal trading in it grows unabated, to the detriment of their environment and the people's health.

The state of Nigeria's legal and policy disposition to hazardous waste is parlous and unexpected to say the least, considering that the nation was jolted to consciousness of the environment by the dumping of hazardous waste on Koko by Gianfraco Raffaeli, an Italian businessman in 1988. [44] This incident brought about Nigeria taking major steps at fixing its environmental issues with the promulgation of the Harmful Toxic Waste (Special Criminal Provision) Act, [45] establishment of the defunct Federal Environmental Protection Agency (FEPA), and a National Policy on the Environment to bring the nation to life on current environmental trends. Much as that would be, the situation is almost the same today for many obvious reasons major among which is poverty. [25] The country for instance cannot thus be said to be fully ready to effectively handle an increase in generation of e-waste which is likely to arise during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. The need to bridge the 'digital divide' to meet technological needs and demands of technological advancements will continue to encourage illegal importation of waste electrical/electronic equipment (WEEE) in the name of 'used' electrical products. The Harmful Waste Act promulgated after the Koko incident was specifically directed at addressing importation of toxic waste. The Act prohibits the importation, carrying, deposition and dumping of harmful waste on any land, territorial waters and matters relating thereto. Although the penalty for commission of the above offence under the Act is life imprisonment, not much success has so far been made despite the Act. The very porous borders in the country has made the effect of the provision largely redundant as WEEE is still finding its way into the country. In the same vein, the Act also requires persons who generates hazardous waste to cause such waste to be treated using acceptable methods. This provision has also remained largely unenforced as most users of electronic equipment at end of life stage dispose same in the same way other wastes are disposed of. Burning of e-waste without regard to the danger to the environment in a bid to extract copper and gold is also not unusual.

The establishment of the National Environmental Standards Regulation and Enforcement Agency (NESREA) in 2007 as a replacement for FEPA brought about some progress in the framework for addressing the e-waste management challenges in Nigeria. The Agency has made a total of 33 Regulations for protection of the environment since inception, only two of these Regulations relate to e-waste. The National Environmental (Sanitation and Wastes Control) Regulations 2009<sup>[46]</sup> and the National Environmental (Electrical/Electronic Sector) Regulations 2011. [47] In addition, the Agency has also released a Guide for Importers of Used Electrical and Electronic Equipment into Nigeria. The Guide reiterates the ban on importation of WEEE and requires all importers to register with the Agency.

The National Environmental (Sanitation and Wastes Control) Regulations 2009 prohibits littering with wastes, encourages segregation of waste and disposal in designated areas. Although the Regulation recognizes different categories of wastes including health care waste, solid wastes and effluent discharge, it fails to expressly recognise e-waste as a distinct category worthy of specific provisions, this was however subsequently covered under the 2011 National Environmental (Electrical/Electronic Sector) Regulations discussed below. Nevertheless, provisions relating to toxic and hazardous wastes under the Regulation will apply to e-wastes. There is an obligation to ensure that every container or package for storing hazardous waste is secured, marked and labelled. Despite the provision of the Regulations, waste segregation and labelling is still not commonly practiced in the country.

The National Environmental (Electrical/Electronic Sector) Regulations 2011 makes a more detailed attempt to regulate e-waste importation, disposal and management. The Regulation anchored on the 5Rs of reuse, reduce, recycle, repair and recover seeks to minimize pollution

from electronics and electrical equipment from 'cradle to grave'. The Regulation relates to both new and used electrical and electronic equipment and advocates the principle of extended producer responsibility including a buy-back plan for management and disposal of e-waste. It imposes responsibility not only on product manufacturers but on distributors, retailers and importers to take back end of life products from consumers at designated collection centres where manufacturers and producers are to ensure disposal in an environmentally sound manner. The Regulation prohibits importation of end of life, unusable, unserviceable electronics and cathode ray tubes. It prohibits burning of e-wastes; disposal in land-fills and dump sites; and storage on-site for more than one year and imposes penalties for breach. It requires recycling of e-wastes at designated recycling centres. In addition, the Nigerian Communications Commission in 2019 adopted the E-waste Regulations for players in the Communications Industry. This is intended to complement NESREA's Regulations and regulate the life-cycle of electronic and electrical equipment and both Agencies are expected to work together toward building effective partnership for the enforcement of environmental laws and regulations. [48] Although the National Environmental (Electrical/Electronic Sector) Regulations is quite detailed in its provisions relating to e-waste importation, management and disposal, not much progress has been achieved in terms of its effective implementation and enforcement. A recent report revealed shipment of more than 60,000 tonnes of used electrical and electronics equipment into Nigeria annually via Lagos Port alone. This is in addition to shipment from land borders and other ports. Of this number, more than 25% of the shipped equipment can be categorised as being 'dead on arrival' and of no use. [49]

Concerns were still raised as recent as January 2020 over the dangers of e-waste management challenges and crude recycling practices with government being urged to create an enabling environment for recycling plants to spring up and function effectively. <sup>[50]</sup> Other challenges identified by NESREA in combating the e-waste challenge include poor implementation of the

extended producer responsibility programme and poor public awareness on the dangers of ewaste. [51]

The situation is not different in other developing countries, Gamaralalage and Premakumara notes that the progress in the practical implementation of national regulations on e-waste at the local level is limited and challenging. [52]

# VI. CONCLUSION AND WAY FORWARD

The desire for technological advancement and alternative ICT based options as a viable The desire for technological advancement and alternative options created to address the effect of the COVID-19 lockdown is changing settings in the developing world. The situation in developing countries, according to Sullivan is 'not pretty'. [53] Indeed, it is a ticking time bomb which may explode soon, if not defused timeously. The resort to ICT measures to minimize the effect of the lockdown and as an alternative to face-face activities is increasing and is likely to remain so even post-COVID-19 as some of these innovations are likely to remain complementary to traditional physical interactions. This will invariable lead to increase in importation of electrical and electronic devices and a consequential increase in generation of e-waste.

Based on the foregoing, there is need for Nigeria and other developing countries to take caution in embracing the increased reliance on technological innovations as an alternative to physical interactions as necessitated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Whilst the use of ICT options as a viable alternative to face-to-face interactions may not be a negative development during and post COVID-19 pandemic, the existing frameworks are inadequate to manage the resultant increase in e-waste. There is need for developing countries to not only start the conversations and plan for managing the looming increased e-waste situation but to start putting measures in place to minimize the generation of such wastes and to ensure its proper disposal in an

environmentally sound manner during and post COVID-19. The problems associated with informal recycling of e-waste will continue to spread in developing countries in Africa, Asia, and the Indian subcontinent with these countries increasingly becoming major receptacles for the developed world's unwanted electronics and electrical equipment.

There is also an urgent need to promote public awareness of the risks involved in improper handling of e-waste. Technology development and information exchange on best management practices should be encouraged. Stronger commitment should be made toward enforcement of existing laws and national regulations, particularly the Basel Convention and the Bamako Convention particularly among countries in the West African region which is the major hub of trade in used electrical equipment and e-waste importation. [54]

Stricter measures at regulating the movement of e-waste substances from the UK, the USA and the EU member states should be put in place with developing states being able to prohibit or object to shipments of waste in order to implement the principles of proximity and self-sufficiency. [55]

#### References

- 1. World Health Organization (WHO), 'WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19,' available at https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020 (accessed 15 June 2020).
- 2. WHO, 'Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard' available at https://covid19.who.int/ (accessed 21 January 2021).
- 3. WHO, 'African countries start easing COVID-19 confinement measures,' available at https://www.afro.who.int/news/african-countries-start-easing-covid-19-confinement-measures (accessed 3 July 2020).
- 4. WHO, 'Q&A on Coronaviruses (COVID-19),' 17 April, 2020, available at https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/question-and-answers-hub/q-a-detail/q-a-coronaviruses/ (accessed 15 June 2020).
- 5. WHO, 'WHO Situation Report #87,' 16 April, 2020, available at https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronairuse/situatio-reports/20200416-sitrep-87-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=9523115a\_2.pdf\_(accessed 15 June 2020).
- 6. WHO, 'COVID-19 Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC), Global Research and Innovation Forum', 12 February, 2020, available at https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/covid-19-public-health-emergency-of-international-concern-(pheic)-global-research-and-innovation-forum (accessed 15 June 2020).
- 7. Eschner K. The Virus that Causes COVID-19 Has Been Silently Brewing in Bats for Decades. Popular Science Online, 2020 available at https://www.msn.com/en-xl/northamerica/top-stories/the-virus-that-causes-covid-19-has-been-silently-brewing-in-bats-for-decades/ar-BB17ofDa?ocid=mailsignout (accessed 15 July 2020).
- 8. WHO, 'Weekly epidemiological update' available at <a href="https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update---19-january-2021">https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update---19-january-2021</a> (accessed 21 January 2021).
- 9. Mitra A, Ray Chadhuri T, Mitra A, Pramanick P, Zaman S. Impact of COVID-19 related shutdown on atmospheric carbon dioxide level in the city of Kolkata. Parana Journal of Science and Education. 2020;6(3):84-92.
- 10. Toquero CM. Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education Amid the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Philippine Context. Pedagogical Research. 2020;5(4).
- 11. Riley T, Sully E, Ahmed Z, Biddlecom A. Estimates of the potential impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on sexual and reproductive health in low-and middle-income countries. Int Perspect Sex Reprod Health. 2020 Apr 16;46:46.
- 12. Lai X, Wang M, Qin C, Tan L, Ran L, Chen D, Zhang H, Shang K, Xia C, Wang S, Xu S. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-2019) infection among health care workers and implications for prevention measures in a tertiary hospital in Wuhan, China. JAMA Network Open. 2020 May 1;3(5):e209666

- 13. WHO, 'COVID-19 WHO African Region: External situation report 10,' available at https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331989/SITREP\_COVID-19 WHOAFRO 20200506-eng.pdf (accessed 9 June 2020).
- 14. WHO, 'COVID-19 WHO African Region: External situation report 13,' available at https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/332199 (accessed 8 June 2020).
- 15. Vidal-Alaball J, Acosta-Roja R, Hernández NP, Luque US, Morrison D, Pérez SN, Perez-Llano J, Seguí FL, Vèrges AS. Telemedicine in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. Atencion primaria. 2020 Jun;52(6):418.
- 16. O'Leary DE. Evolving Information Systems and Technology Research Issues for COVID-19 and Other Pandemics. Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce. 2020 May 4:1-8.
- 17. Goldschmidt K. The COVID-19 pandemic: Technology use to support the wellbeing of children. Journal of Pediatric Nursing. 2020 Apr 16.
- 18. Nigerian Bar Association, https://conference.nigerianbar.org (accessed 3 August 2020).
- 19. Henriques, M. Will Covid-19 have a lasting impact on the environment? available at <a href="https://www.bbc.com/future/article/20200326-covid-19-the-impact-of-coronavirus-on-the-environment">https://www.bbc.com/future/article/20200326-covid-19-the-impact-of-coronavirus-on-the-environment</a>.
- 20. Baldé CP, Forti V, Gray V, Kuehr R, Stegmann P. The global e-waste monitor 2017: Quantities, flows and resources. United Nations University, International Telecommunication Union, and International Solid Waste Association; 2017.
- 21. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) Press Release. 'UN Report: Time to Seize Opportunity, Tackle Challenge of E-Waste. (2019).
- 22. Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal (Basel Convention), 1989.
- 23. Convention on the Ban of the Import into Africa and the Control of Transboundary Movement and Management of Hazardous Wastes Within Africa (Bamako Convention), 1991.
- 24. Wirth DA. 'Hazardous Substances and Activities,' in Bodansky D et al (eds), The Oxford Handbook of International Environmental Law. Oxford University Press, 2010.
- 25. Lawal S. Nigeria Has Become an E-Waste Dumpsite for Europe, US and Asia. TRT World, 15 February, 2019 available at https://www.trtworld.com/magazine/nigeria-has-become-an-e-waste-dumpsite-for-europe-us-and-asia-24197 (accessed 15 July 2020)
- 26. Carlarne CP. Climate change law and policy: EU and US approaches. Oxford University Press, USA; 2010.
- 27. Ogunseitan OA. The Basel Convention and e-waste: translation of scientific uncertainty to protective policy. The Lancet Global Health. 2013 Dec 1;1(6):e313-4.
- 28. Special Report, 'Down in the Dumps,' *The Economist*, 14 August, 2018, available at https://www.eeconomist.com/special-report/2018/08/14/down-in-the-dumps (accessed 15 July 2020).
- 29. Vidal J. 'Toxic E-Waste' Dumped in Poor Nations, Says United Nations,' The Guardian online, Saturday 14 December, 2013, available at https://www.theguatdian.com/global-development/2013/dec/14/toxic-ewaste-illegal-dumping-developing-countries (accessed 17 July 2020).
- 30. Carney L. 'Nigeria Fears E-Waste 'Toxic Legacy,' BBC World Services Dirty Business, Tuesday 19 Dec, 2006.
- 31. Fehm S. From iPod to e-Waste: Building a Successful Framework for Extended Producer Responsibility in the United States. Pub. Cont. LJ. 2011;41:173.

- 32. Grant K, Goldizen FC, Sly PD, Brune MN, Neira M, van den Berg M, Norman RE. Health consequences of exposure to e-waste: a systematic review. The lancet global health. 2013 Dec 1;1(6):e350-61.
- 33. Wang K, Qian J, Liu L. Understanding environmental pollutions of informal e-waste clustering in global south via multi-scalar regulatory frameworks: a case study of Guiyu Town, China. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 2020:17(8);2802.
- 34. United States Environmental Protection Agency, 'Understanding Global Warming Potentials,' 14 February, 2017, available at https://www.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/gwps.html (accessed 15 July 2020).
- 35. Sieff K. 'The World is Drowning in Ever-Growing Mounds of Garbage,' *The Washington Post*, 21 November, 2017, available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/africa/the-world-is-drowning-in-ever-growing-mounds-of-garbage/2017/11/21/cf22e4bd-17a473c-89f8-873d48f968cd\_story.html (accessed 12 July 2020).
- 36. Arogundade S. 'A glance at Biggest Dumpsites in Nigeria,' *BioEnergy Consult*, 16 October, 2019, available at https://www.bioenergyconsult.com/biggest-dumpsites-in-nigeria/ (accessed 15 July 2020).
- 37. Amokaye OG. Environmental Law and Practice in Nigeria, (2<sup>nd</sup> ed). MIJ Professional Publishers, Nigeria; 2014.
- 38. Chong E, Yosypiv IV. Developmental programming of hypertension and kidney disease. <u>Int J Nephrol</u>. 2012;760580. doi: 10.1155/2012/760580.
- 39. McAllister L, Magee A, Hale B. Women, e-waste, and technological solutions to climate change. Health and Human Rights Journal. 2014 Jun 1;16(1):166-78.
- 40. United Nations Environmental Programme, available at https://www.unenvironment.org/explore-topics/environmental-rights-and-governance/what-we-do/meeting-international-environmental (accessed 15 July 2020).
- 41. Case C-41/02 Commission v Netherlands (Dutch Vitamins) [2004] ECR I-11375, Cited in Damien Chalmers et al, European Union Laws: Cases and Materials (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed) Cambridge University Press (2010), pp.898-899.
- 42. Case C-2/90 Commission v Belgium (Walloon Waste) [1992] ECR 1-4431.
- 43. Case C-203/96 Chemische Afvalstoppen Dusseldorp BV and Others v Minister van Volkhuisvesting, Ruimtelijke Ordening en Milieubeheer [1998] ECR I-4075.
- 44. Onojeghen T. 'Koko Community Can Never Recover From 1988 Toxic Waste Saga,' The Punch Newspaper, 3 March, 2018.
- 45. Cap Hi Laws of the Federation of Nigeria LFN, 2010.
- 46. https://www.nesrea.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Sanitation\_and\_Wastes\_Control\_Regulations% 202009.pdf (accessed 5 July 2020).
- 47. https://www.nesrea.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Electrical\_Electronics.pdf (accessed 5 July 2020).
- 48. Nigerian Communications Commission, 'We will Collaborate with NESREA to achieve a cleaner Environment in Nigeria,' https://www.ncc.gov.ng/media-centre/news-headlines/660-we-will-collaborate-with-nesrea-to-achieve-a-cleaner-environment-in-nigeria-danbatta (accessed 5 August 2020).
- 49. UNEP, 'Nigeria turns the Tide on electronic waste' https://www.unenvironment.org/news-and-stories/press-release/nigeria-turns-tide-electronic-waste (accessed 5 July 2020).
- 50. Premium Times, 'NESREA raises concerns over dangers of e-waste' https://www.premiumtimesng.com/health/health-news/372924-nesrea-raises-concern-over-danger-of-e-waste.html (accessed 7 July 2020).

- 51. Benebo NS. 'Status of e-waste Legislation in Nigeria' https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=&cad=rja&u act=8&ved=2ahUKEwiUmNv-84LrAhXwxoUKHWhcDVwQFjACegQIBBAB&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.basel.int%2FPortals%2F4%2Fdownload.aspx%3Fd%3DUNEP-CHW-PAFEW.1-PRES-Nesrea.English.pdf&usg=AOvVaw3YlJXBdyG9OvKpFUlCgUVU (accessed 15 July 2020).
- 52. Gamaralalage D, Premakumara J. 'Development of E-waste Management Policy at the Local Level: A Case Study of Cebu City, Philippines,' Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (2016) https://www.iges.or.jp/en/pub/development-e-waste-management-policy-local/en (accessed 15 July 2020).
- 53. Sullivan J. 'Trash or Treasure: Global Trade and the Accumulation of E-Waste in Lagos, Nigeria,' 61(1) *Africa Today* (2014): 89-112.
- 54. Oluduro OF, Gasu GN. The Imperative of a Legislative Response to the Problem of E-Waste in Africa, VII (1&2) *African Journal of Institutions and Development* (2012): 120-131; European Commission, 'Environment,' 23 March, 2020. Available at https://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/weee/index\_en.htm (accessed 15 July 2020).
- 55. Sands P. Principles of International Environmental Law (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed) Cambridge University Press; 2003.