UCLPRESS

Article title: Mozambique Public investment in water and sanitation sector and the targets of the SDG6

Authors: Manuel Salvador Conceição Rebelo[1]

Affiliations: faculdade de ciências da terra e ambiente, universidade pedagogica, maputo, mozambique[1]

Orcid ids: 0000-0001-7804-9638[1]

Contact e-mail: rebelo.salvador@gmail.com

License information: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY) 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Preprint statement: This article is a preprint and has not been peer-reviewed, under consideration and submitted to

UCL Open: Environment Preprint for open peer review.

Links to data: https://www.mef.gov.mz/

DOI: 10.14324/111.444/000201.v2

Preprint first posted online: 30 October 2023

Keywords: Mozambique, SGD6, Access to water and Sanitation, Public Investment, Water

Mozambique Public investment in water and sanitation sector and the targets of the SDG6

Manuel Salvador da Conceição Rebelo¹

Abstract

Many developing countries may not reach the targets of providing access to safe water sources

and sanitation services for all by 2030. Census data from Mozambique show that the country

population is one of fast growth in sub-Saharan region. Between 2007 and 2017 census more that

7 million people were added to the total population. By 2030 it will be added about 11 million

people in a total Mozambique population. This will pose a huge challenge for the water and

sanitation services. Access to these services is a fundamental requirement for the well-being of

individuals and the development of nations. The last data from a Survey on Mozambique Family

Budgets (IOF2019/20), show that 55.7% of a total population has access to safe water sources. In

contrast, access to sanitation services (31% of population), has not kept pace with the progress

made in water access. In this study, based on data from the General State Account of

Mozambique, which includes the description of annual investment made by the Government and

using the results of the Family Budget Surveys, it is shown that if the average percentage values

of public investment of 2009 to 2021 be the same in the following years in the water and

sanitation sector Mozambique will not reach the SDG6 targets in 2030.

Key words: Mozambique, SGD6, Access to water and Sanitation, Public Investment

1. Introduction

Access to safe water sources and sanitation services is a fundamental requirement for the well-

being of individual (Minh, 2011) and, in general, for the economic growth of nations (World

Bank, 2022). The absence or reduced access to these services contributes to the spread of

pathogens that cause different types of disease (Ferreira et al, 2021), especially in urban informal

settlements that developed as a result of unplanned urbanization process (Victor et al, 2022).

Ensuring universal availability and sustainable management of safe water and sanitation services

by 2030 is a sustainable development goal. The sustainable development goals were adopted by

¹ rebelo.salvador@gmail.com. Faculty of Earth Science and Environment, Pedagogical University of Maputo,

Mozambique.

1

the United Nations in 2015. The United Nations (UN) considers that for the achieving this goal requires large investments in adequate infrastructure, mainly in developing countries, where there is a significant historical delay in this field.

The progress made in accessing safe water sources at the global level is evident, inspiring and can help mobilize more and new financing. Data show that 91% of the global population has access to a source of safe drinking water (UNWater, 2017). On the contrary, access to sanitation services is advancing but at a slow pace, that is, 3.6 billion people do not have access to sanitation services and 8% of the total defecates in the open space and a large part of this percentage are from Sub-Saharan Africa region (World Bank, 2022). There are also substantial differences in access to these services between urban and rural areas.

Although the coverage rate does not capture elements such as quality and services delivery, Mozambique has made little progress in access to water and sanitation compared to other African countries with similar income (Victor, 2022). And it is the urban areas where the country has the highest rates of water access and sanitation services. The latest Survey on Mozambique Family Budgets (IOF2019/20) shows that a little more than half of the population (55.7%) has access to a source of safe water for consumption and less than half (31%) use safe sanitation services, such as the use of sewage network and other one-site-sanitations systems (non-flush toilet, improved *latrine* and improved traditional *latrine*). In Mozambique the latrine is an outside toilet built with wood, grass and other local materials freely available in rural areas but there is also this type of toilet in a city slums built with cement blocks. This is a characteristic, which according to Minh (2011) is common place to developing countries.

Access to safe water and sanitation services is determined by the investment that is made in infrastructure (Ferreira et al, 2021). For example, relatively recent data indicated that the total world population using improved sanitation infrastructure in 2017 was 68% (UNWater, 2017) and this is a progress resulting from investment in infrastructure.

There is an immediate positive or negative relationship between the rate of coverage of water and sanitation services and the growth of public expenditure in these areas. Annamraju et al (2001) has recorded that in the first decade of this century, developing countries spent between 1 and 3% of their national budgets on water and sanitation services and this was considered as inadequate to reach the millennium goals.

Although public expenditure by developing countries was classified as inadequate for the goals of universal access to water and sanitation services (Annamraju et al, 2001), UNWater (2017) had registered a growth of 4.9% which was also pointed out as being insufficient to achieve the sustainable development goals. For example, according to UNICEF (2019), Mozambique has allocated in 2019, 2.5% of the Annual State Budget to the water and sanitation sector, representing 0.5% of GDP, and with the majority directed to urban infrastructure and that sustains the trend of imbalance that occurs between urban versus rural coverage rates.

In this study, using data from the General State Account (2009 to 2021) and from the Mozambique Household Budget Surveys, we seek to understand whether the current pace of state budget allocations to the water sector still guarantee the achievement of the objective of sustainable development no 6 by 2030. Information about the actual investment and services deliver from a small private operator in water and sanitation sector was excluded because of lack of regular data.

2. Methodology and Materials

Two methods were used to carry out this study: literature review and data analysis from the Mozambique General State Account (CGE²) and from Household Budget Survey (IOF). The literature was identified on the internet based on the search engine Google, Googleschoolar and in the Web of Science data base. The search words used were: "water and sanitation and Sub-Saharan Africa", "Access to Water and Sanitation and Mozambique". Data on the General State Account are for the years between 2009 and 2021 and are available on the Ministry of Economy and Finance (MEF) website. The CGE is a government document that shows the budget and financial execution and simultaneously presents the results of each of the economic years, allowing an understanding of the distribution of public investment, that is, which sectors are absorbing the highest percentages of investment and vice versa.

In this study, the data collected refer to the distribution of public investment by the different sectors, including those considered by the Government as "priority" for national development. In addition to the CGE data, information on "financial execution of the investment budget" contained in the "Annual Water Sector Performance Assessment Reports" prepared by the

² All the acronyms are in the Portuguese language. This option is to maintain some originality of the names of the institutions and national governments documents.

National Directorate of Water and Sanitation (DNAAS) of the Ministry of Public Works and Housing in 2013 was also used to 2017, which were available on the organization website.

Data from the CGE and the Annual Water Sector Performance Assessment Reports are useful to understand the origin, evolution and trends of investment in this sector. Data on access to water and sanitation were extracted from the Household Budget Surveys (IOF) (Table 1). The IOF (formerly known as Household Survey - IAF³), which is one of the oldest data surveys carried out by the National Institute of Statistics (INE) – a Government Agency - is defined as a continuous and integrated survey of households, by random stratified sampling that collects by interviews, among others, sociodemographic and expenditure and income data of households residing in the country⁴. The objective of IOF is to obtain information on the nature and destination of consumer expenditure, as well as information about various resources related to the living conditions of households. The data is collected in all administrative units, in rural and urban areas of the country and the sample source is the national census and must be comparable between different IOF (INE, 2021). The main purpose of the IOF is to support a formulation of sectoral policies and programs for the Government, private sector and civil society in general (INE, 2021).

Table 1: IAFs/IOFs carried out and the number of households covered

| | | | IAF- | IAF- | IOF- | IOF- | IOF- |
|-------------|----|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | 1996/07 | 2002/03 | 2008/09 | 2014/15 | 2019/20 |
| Number | of | households | 8289 | 8727 | 10832 | 11592 | 13656 |
| interviewed | | | | | | | |

Prepared by the author (2022) based on data from IOFs (1996/07, 2002/03, 2008/09, 2014/15and 2019/20) In this study all the data collected in IOFs reports, CGE and in Annual State Budget (OGE) are presented in tables and comparisons (evolution of the access to water and sanitation services between the various IOF and tendencies of the public investment for water and sanitations from

³ Inuérito aos Agregados Familiares (IAF) in portuguese, was the first name for the Household Budget Surveys (IOF)

⁴ For the IOF estimates to be representative of the population, it was necessary to multiply the data by a weighting factor. The basic weight for each selected household was calculated as the inverse of its selection probability. The basic weight, or expansion factor, is calculated as the inverse of this selection probability. The sum of the household weights is equal to the total number of households in the country and the multiplication of the average number of members in each household by the total number of households must be equal to the total population at half of the data collection period (INE, 2023:12).

CGE) are made to answer the question that this study proposes to investigate: if at the current pace of state budget allocations for the water sector, can Mozambique guarantee access to water and sanitation for all by 2030?

In the following section, as a way of looking for answers to the formulated question, the rates of access to water and sanitation services are first compared and the trends in investment expenditure of CGE in these two domains are analyzed.

3. Investment Expenditure Made in the Water Sector and Sanitation Services in Mozambique

Water and sanitation occupy a central place in the development policies of the Government of Mozambique. The Government's Five-Year Plans (PQG) are the main planning instruments used to promote the country's socio-economic development and is prepared with the participation of various governance institutions at different territorial scales. Every 5 years, and following the electoral calendar, Government five-year plans are drawn up. These plans are executed with national, provincial and territorial annual Economic and Social Plans (PES) and the Annual State Budget (OGE) is the main instrument of action and intervention that guarantees the achievement of the objectives of the PQG. All areas of state responsibility and intervention receive annual budget allocations, which are not uniform but vary depending on national priorities. Priority areas are those that receive the largest budget allocations and are defined in the PQG. Sectors such as education, health, water and sanitation always have priority in the allocation of the annual budget.

Access to water and sanitation, long before the formulation of the millennium development goals and the sustainable development goals, is within the "infrastructure" area in the CGE in Mozambique, which is an area identified as a priority in the national development plans by for this reason, the Government has invested and continues to invest in increasing the coverage rate and in associated infrastructures considered critical. The IOFs data is useful because it allow us to capture the progress achieved in the water and sanitation services.

As a signatory to the Sustainable Development Agenda, Mozambique has formulated the following targets for Sustainable Development Goal No. 6: i) achieve universal and equitable access to safe and affordable water for all by 2030"; and ii) "achieve access to adequate and

equitable sanitation and hygiene for all and eliminate the practice of open defecation, with special attention to the needs of women and girls and those in vulnerable situations by 2030".

Mozambique's population continues to grow at high rates. The national census carried out in 2007 indicated a growth of around 32.4% in relation to the previous census (1997), around 5 million inhabitants had been added (1/3 of the total population recorded in the 1997 census). This growth pattern was also observed with the last census (2017) but with an even faster growth rate (35%), adding just over 7 million individuals to total population of the country registered by 2007 census. In the years to come, the projection show that the total Mozambique population will continue to increase imposes challenges in the provision of water and sanitation services to all.




Figure 1: Mozambique total population by census year and projection (in million).

Prepared by the author (2022) based on data from INE

Despite the advances made, national statistics indicate that in relation to water, the coverage rate is increasing at a fast pace, but it is slow in sanitation services, that is, more than half of the total population does not have access to this service. The statistics generated by the IOFs show that in 2002/2003 more than half (64.2%) of the country's population used water for consumption from an unsafe source (water from an unprotected well, spring water, water from a river, lake, pond, rain water). This feature has been reversed. Currently, more people have gained access to water from a safe source and this progress can be seen by the data of the latest IOF (2019/20) which shows that 55.7% of the population has access to a safe source of water (piped water, water from the fountain/public tap, water from the borehole/well with hand pump, water from the protected well without hand pump) and 44.3% continue to use unsafe water sources (Fig 2).

When analyzing the growth pattern of the water coverage rate in Mozambique, two scenarios emerge: the first, from 2002 (IOF2002/03) to 2014 (IOF2014/15) where the growth was approximately 5% observed between each of the surveys carried out. In the second scenario, which coincides with the announcement of the Sustainable Development Goals in 2015, between the two surveys carried out (IOF2014/15 and IOF2019/20) the growth of the coverage rate was much slower, that is, a few tenths, very far from the 1 percentage point. This pattern of low coverage can be explained by the low average public investment in the water access (see Table 2).




Figure 2: Percentage of population with access to safe and unsafe water sources, according to IOFs

Prepared by the author (2022) based on data from IOFs (2002/03, 2008/09, 2014/15and 2019/20)

In sanitation, access to a services has made very slow progress and one of the reasons may be associated with the fact that Mozambique has unplanned informal settlements and a dispersed population in rural areas. Data from the IOF (2002/2003) indicated that only 11.2% of the population had access to safe sanitation services (in-house flush toilet, outside flush toilet, non-flush toilet, improved latrine and improved traditional latrine). The most recent data generated by the IOF2019/2020 indicate that about 31% of the population has access to a safe sanitation service (Fig. 3). This is one of the fastest growing percentages in recent years, approximately 5% (from 2014/15 to 2019/20).




Figure 3: Percentage of population with access to safe and unsafe sanitation, according to IOFs

Prepared by the author (2022) based on data from IOFs (2002/03, 2008/09, 2014/15 and 2019/20) $\,$

The question that arises is whether it is possible to find evidence that explain the trends in water coverage rates and sanitation services in the General State Budget (CGE)? It is evident that access to water improves with new household connections, construction and rehabilitation of standpipes and small water supply systems. While access to sanitation increases with the construction of improved latrines and improved traditional latrines in rural and urban areas with connections to sewers and septic tanks. Water and sanitation services as public goods, in Mozambique, the Government has a responsibility to guarantee access to these services. In the water and sanitation sector, although the private sector can intervene, this mission is the responsibility of three state agencies, namely AIAS⁵, FIPAG⁶ and DNAAS.

The analysis carried out on the budget allocations allows us to obtain an idea of the trend of public investment in the water and sanitation sector and to formulate a possible answer to the question posed. The reports produced by DNAAS show that from 2009 to 2017 more than 70% of the Investment Budget came from sources outside the State Budget (contributions from

⁵ The Water and Sanitation Infrastructure Administration (AIAS) is the entity responsible for managing the heritage and public investment program in water supply and wastewater sanitation infrastructure in smaller cities, such as towns and districts outside the jurisdiction of FIPAG (CRA, 2016).

⁶ FIPAG is the body responsible for managing public water supply services in the country's large cities and towns. It is the entity responsible for attracting and managing investments for the development of infrastructure in Mozambique's main cities and for promoting a strong partnership between the public and private sectors (CRA, 2016).

cooperation partners from various countries and multilateral financial organizations such as the World Bank). The data from CGE show that 91% of the investment in water and sanitation services in Mozambique in 2017 came from cooperation partners (in credit and other mechanisms).

Based on the CGE, it is noted that the public investment made for the expansion of water and sanitation infrastructure to increase the coverage rate is very variable and inconsistent, that is, the analysis of the data indicates that between 2009 and 2013 this area absorbed an average of 4% of total public investment. During these years, a constant and balanced pattern of transfers from the state to the water and sanitation sector has been observed. On the contrary, from 2014 to 2021 the average is lower, around 3% of total public investment, and with minimum percentage values reach only 1.1%. This last period is inconsistent and with very sharp fluctuations, for example in 2016 the allocations to the sector reach a maximum value of 3.8% of total public investment and in the following year there is a sharp reduction to 1.1%. More recently, in the latest state budgets (2020 and 2021) the percentage allocated to the sector is 1.1%, which is the lowest value observed in the entire period of this analysis (Fig. 4)




Figure 4: Transfers from the State Budget to the water and sanitation sector between 2009-2021 (%)

Source: prepared by the author (2022) based on data from the CGE (2009-2021) $\,$

There is a pattern that emerges which shows a positive relationship between the increase in access to water and sanitation with the state transfers for investment in water. This increase was sometimes marked and other times quite low. The absolute and relative values of the CGE show that:

- Between 2009 and 2013, the volume of investment in this sector was the highest recorded until 2021 (Figure 4). The effects of this investment can probably explain the reduction in the population without access to a safe water source and the increase in the population with access to sanitation services that was captured by the IOF2014/2015;
- The slow growth in the water and sanitation coverage rate that occurred after 2015 is somehow related to the investment made in that period, which was quite reduced when compared to the previous period (2009 to 2013); and
- The years between 2014 and 2020 were those with inconstant public investments and frequent fluctuations, with the lowest minimum values observed throughout the period (2009 to 2021) and it is also the period in which the water and sanitation coverage rate grew very little.

4. Discussion and conclusion

In this section the main results are discussed. The discussion is based on a synthesis of indicators that make it possible to establish the relationship between public investment in the water and sanitation sectors from 2009 to 2021 with the rate of access to these services, and also to project from the trends of current investment whether it will be possible to reach the water and sanitations SDGs target in 2030.

Table 2 summarizes the results of this study. With it, it is possible to observe that the average value of public investment carried out in the period between 2009 and 2013 was 4.42%. In this same period, the average rate of water coverage was 15.4% and 13.6% of access to sanitation services. This rate is the highest recorded to date. In contrast, in the period between 2015 and 2018 the average value of public investment in these two domains was 2.65%. During this period, the water coverage rate was negative (-0.2%), that is, it did not grow, despite the increase in the country's total population. From 2020 to 2021, investment in the water and sanitation sector is around 1.1%, which is the lowest value in the entire period (2009 to 2021). If this last percentage not increase, the access rate will continue to be negative, that is, a large part of the

population will be without access to water and sanitation by 2030. The data in this study shows that when investment increases, access to water and sanitation also increases.

Table 2: Relationship between investments made in the water and sanitation sector (in %/Year and period)

| Indicators | Year | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|--------------------|------|------|------|-----------------------|------|------|
| indicators | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Public Investment in the water and Sanitation | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector (%) | 3.9 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Access to Water (%) | 40.5% (IOF2008/09) | | | | | | 5.9% 2014/15) | | | | 55.7% (IOF2019/20) | | |
| Access to Sanitation in % (IOF) | 16% (IOF2008/09) | | | | | | 29.6% (2014/15) | | | | 31% (IOF2019/20) | | |
| Average public investment in each period (%) | 4.42 | | | | 2.65 | | | | | | | | |
| Difference in water access rates between IOFs 2008/09 - 2014/15 and 2014/15 - 2019/20 (%) | 15.4 | | | | | -0.2 | | | | | | | |
| Difference in sanitation access rates between IOFs 2008/09 - 2014/15 and 2014/15 - 2019/20 (%) | 13.6 | | | | 1.4 | | | | | | | | |

Source: prepared by the author (2022) based on data from the CGE and IOFs

The data presented in this table show that if the average investment observed in the period 2009 to 2013 of about 4.42% had been maintained in the period between 2015 and 2018, access to safe water sources would have reached 71% of the population and if the same investment value were held at least constant for the years ahead, Mozambique could reach the access to water for all one year earlier than the target set by the United Nations. In the case of sanitation, if the country maintains an average investment observed in the period between 2009 and 2013 of 13.6%, only in 2043 the entire population will have access to safe sanitation services. For all people to have access to safe water sources in Mozambique by 2030, and in order to accelerate the pace, the country must allocate 10 to 12% of public investment to the water sector. This figure increases to around 20% for sanitation. This will be a big challenge for a country that has many basic services to provide for all population.

References

Annamraju S, Calaguas B, Gutierrez E. 2001. Financing water and sanitation: Key issues in increasing resources to the sector. London, UK. Available on line [https://www.ircwash.org/resources/financing-water-and-sanitation-key-issues-increasing-resources-sector], Acessed on [25 september 2022]

CRA – Conselho de Regulação de Abastecimento de Águas e Saneamento (2016). Análise das Práticas e do Quadro Regulatório: Manual de Governança e Substância Regulatórias. Maputo

Cumming O, Elliott M, Overbo A, Bartram J (2014). Does Global Progress on Sanitation Really Lag behind Water? An Analysis of Global Progress on Community- and Household-Level Access to Safe Water and Sanitation. PLoS ONE 9(12): e114699. Available on line [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114699], Acessed on [25 september 2022]

Ferreira, D C, Graziele, I, Marques, R C et al. 2021. Investment in drinking water and sanitation infrastructure and its impact on waterborne diseases dissemination: The Brazilian case, Science of the Total Environment. Available on line [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146279], Acessed on [25 september 2022]

Direcção Nacional de Gestão de Recursos Hídricos. 2017. Plano de Acção do Sector de Águas para Implementação dos Objectivos de Desenvolvimento Sustentável 2015-2030. Vol 1. Gestão de Recursos Hídricos. Available on line

[https://www.dngrh.gov.mz/index.php/publicacoes/publicacoes-estudos/13-plano-de-accao-de-implementacao-do-ods-2015-2030-volume-1/file], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

Direcção Nacional de Águas. 2014. Relatório Anual de Avaliação do Desempenho do Sector de Águas 2013. Maputo. Available on line

[https://www.dngrh.gov.mz/index.php/publicacoes/relatorios/17-relatorio-de-desempenho-do-sector-de-aguas-2014/file] , Acessed on [27 september 2022]

Direcção Nacional de Águas. 2012. Relatório Anual de Avaliação do Desempenho do Sector de Águas 2011. Versão final. Maputo. Available on line

[https://www.dngrh.gov.mz/index.php/publicacoes/relatorios/16-relatorio-de-desempenho-do-sector-de-aguas-2012/file], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

Direcção Nacional de Águas. 2011. Relatório Anual de Avaliação do Desempenho do Sector de Águas 2010/11. Versão final. Available on line

[https://www.dngrh.gov.mz/index.php/publicacoes/relatorios/15-relatorio-de-desempenho-do-sector-de-aguas-2010-final/file], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

INE. 2021. Inquérito sobre o Orçamento Familiar – IOF 2019/20. Relatório Final. Setembro de 2021. Available on line [http://www.ine.gov.mz/operacoes-estatisticas/inqueritos/inqueritosobre-orcamento-familiar/iof-2019-20/inquerito-sobre-orcamento-familiar-iof-2019-20/view], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

INE. 2016. Inquérito sobre o Orçamento Familiar – IOF 2014/15. Available on line [http://www.ine.gov.mz/operacoes-estatisticas/inqueritos/inquerito-sobre-orcamento-familiar/inquerito-aos-orcamentos-familiares-2014-15-principais-resultados/view], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

INE. 2010. Inquérito sobre o Orçamento Familiar – IOF 2008/09. Available on line [http://www.ine.gov.mz/operacoes-estatisticas/inqueritos/inquerito-sobre-orcamento-familiar/inquerito-aos-agregados-familiares-sobre-orcamento-familiar-2008_9.pdf/view], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

INE. 2004. Relatório Final do Inquérito Aos Agregados Familiares Sobre Orçamento Familiar, 2002/3. Available on line [], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

Minh, H. V and Hung, N. V. 2011. Economic Aspects of Sanitation in Developing Countries. Short Review. Environmental Health Insights. pp:5 63-70. Available on line [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3212862/], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

Ministério das Obras Públicas, Habitação e Recursos Hídricos. 2016. Relatório Anual de Avaliação do Desempenho do Sector de Águas 2015. Maputo. Available on line [https://www.dngrh.gov.mz/index.php/publicacoes/relatorios/18-relatorio-de-desempenho-do-sector-de-aguas-2015/file], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

Ministério das Obras Publicas, Habitação e Recursos Hídricos. 2017. Relatório Anual de Avaliação do Desempenho da Área de Gestão de Recursos Hídricos 2016. Maputo. Available on line [https://www.dngrh.gov.mz/index.php/publicacoes/relatorios/19-relatorio-de-desempenho-da-area-de-grh-2017/file], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

República de Moçambique. 2022. Conta Geral do Estado Ano 2021. Vol. 1. Maputo. Available on line [], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

República de Moçambique. 2021. Conta Geral do Estado Ano 2020. Vol. 1. Maputo. Available on line [https://www.mef.gov.mz/], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

República de Moçambique. 2020. Conta Geral do Estado Ano 2019. Vol. 1. Maputo. Available on line [https://www.mef.gov.mz/], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

República de Moçambique. 2018. Conta Geral do Estado Ano 2017. Vol. 1. Maputo. Available on line [https://www.mef.gov.mz/], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

República de Moçambique. 2017. Conta Geral do Estado Ano 2016. Vol. 1. Maputo. Available on line [https://www.mef.gov.mz/], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

República de Moçambique. 2016. Conta Geral do Estado Ano 2015. Vol. 1. Maputo. Available on line [https://www.mef.gov.mz/], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

República de Moçambique. 2014. Conta Geral do Estado 2013. Vol. 1. Maputo. Available on line [https://www.mef.gov.mz/], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

República de Moçambique. 2012. Conta Geral do Estado Ano 2011. Vol. 1. Maputo. Available on line [https://www.mef.gov.mz/], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

República de Moçambique. 2011. Conta Geral do Estado Ano 2010. Vol. 1. Maputo. Available on line [https://www.mef.gov.mz/], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

República de Moçambique. 2010. Conta Geral do Estado Ano 2009. Vol. 1. Maputo. Available on line [https://www.mef.gov.mz/], Acessed on [27 september 2022]

United Nations. 2015. Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: A/RES/71/1. Available on line [https://undocs.org/A/RES/71/1], Acessed on [22 september 2022]

United Nations. 2016. The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in your language. Available on line [https://unric.org/en/sdgs-in-your-language/], Acessed on [22 september 2022]

UN-Water global analysis and assessment of sanitation and drinking-water (GLAAS). 2017 report: financing universal water, sanitation and hygiene under the sustainable development goals. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. Available on line [https://www.unwater.org/publications/un-water-glaas-2017-financing-universal-water-sanitation-and-hygiene-under-sustainable], Acessed on [22 september 2022]

UNICEF. 2019. Budget Brief: WASH. Mozambique. Available on line [https://www.unicef.org/mozambique/media/2776/file/Budget_Briefs_2019_-_WASH.pdf], Acessed on [22 september 2022]

Victor C, Vega Ocasio D, Cumbe ZA, Garn JV, Hubbard S, Mangamela M, et al. 2022. Spatial heterogeneity of neighborhood-level water and sanitation access in informal urban settlements: A cross-sectional case study in Beira, Mozambique. *PLOS Water* 1(6): e0000022. Available on line [https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pwat.0000022], Acessed on [25 september 2022]

World Bank. 2022. <u>Sanitation Overview: Development news, research, data | World Bank</u>. Available on line [https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/sanitation], Acessed on [25 september 2022]